

## TECHNICAL BULLETIN #4 RESILIENT PLAYGROUND SURFACING SYSTEMS <u>Permeability - Drainage Capacity</u>

Sport and recreational surfacing can be constructed with either non-permeable or permeable characteristics. The permeability is altered by choice of materials and installation techniques that are adaptable in the field.

Most Pour-in-place playground surfaces are permeable, allowing rain water to pass through it; this helps to control runoff, to be self cleansing to a certain degree, and to allow the area to be used immediately after a rainfall. Non-permeable surfaces are frequently chosen for water-play areas or food service play courts, where the need for sanitation control by regular cleaning is very high.

The most recognized test for permeability is ASTM F1551-03. This test is directed toward synthetic turf products but is sometimes used to describe the characteristics of bound rubber particles used for play surfaces. While synthetic turf is produced in quality controlled factory conditions, poured-in-place rubber surfaces are field installed and are usually variable in surface texture and porosity. The variables are granule size and sieve distribution, thickness and density of the wearing surface, quantity and type of polyurethane binder used, and the cleanliness of the surface.

The following protocol was set to determine the range of results of a typical playground pour-inplace surfacing system using OTS BTR<sup>™</sup> binders and EPDM rubber granules of 1-3.5mm as a wearing surface over a base of SBR buffings.

**Test Method:** ASTM F1551-03: Standard Test for the Comprehensive Characterization of Synthetic Turf Playing Surfaces and Materials. Reference also Din18035, Part 6.

## **Test Equipment:**

Tube: 10.00" ID Tube Flow Head: 2 Gallons Tube Index Mark: 15.24cm / 6 inches

## **Test Sampling:**

(6) EPDM Wearing Cap @ 12.5mm thick (½") Individual weights noted below
System Thickness 3.75"
Conditioning before test: 70F @ 65% RH

**Test Procedure:** The Tube was sealed to an 18" x 18" specimen with silicone cement to assure that the water would drain only through the cap. The water filled tube was filled into the tube faster than the specimen could drain until the water level reached the Index point. The elapsed

time was measured in seconds from the time the water level reached the index point until it drained to the specimen surface. The procedure was repeated four times, with the first time being the conditioning pass and three times being timed. The test data values represent the drainage rates for the system and do not take into account the type of sub-base on which the surface might be laid (i.e., crushed stone, asphalt, concrete or similar.)

## **Results:**

Specimen #	Weight of Sample	Water flow /sec	Gal/min/sy	Rainfall Capacity
1	2.73# SF	111/115/119//115		
2	2.70# SF	110/116/118//114		
3	2.69# SF	109/112/116//112		
4	2.71# SF	110/116/121//115		
5	2.81# SF	122/124/126//124		
6	2.72# SF	114/119/121//118		
		Average 115	17	52 inches / hr

Tests Performed by R. D. Wilson, OTS Company.

**Comment:** The theoretical rainfall capacity of a PIP surface will vary, depending upon the nature of the rubber / PU matrix that creates the open voids through which the water will pass. In field tests of aged surfaces, it is noted that the rainfall capacity of the surface will naturally decline if the voids become filled with silt, sand or debris.

It was concluded that except in extremely unusual conditions and circumstances, a standard industry PIP surface remained very permeable over time, though certainly not to the original tested flow rates. Further, the rainfall capacity of any PIP surface is more related to the capability of the sub-base to carry the surface discharge at a rate equal to the flow of water through the PIP system. These observations are not necessarily based on recorded data, but a combination of field tests and practical observation.

OTS Company believes the information herein to be true, accurate and reliable and is given in good faith. The company cannot, however be held responsible for any errors or omissions and will not accept responsibility for any use which may be made of the information. Properties shown are typical and do not imply a specification. This information is based on practical experience and laboratory testing, successful use depends on the conditions applicable at the time and the equipment used. Users must ensure by their own testing that the products perform adequately in each situation. Since conditions and disposal are beyond our control, OTS Company, Inc. disclaims any liability incurred in connection with the use of our products; no warranty, express or implied, is given nor is any freedom from any patent or use of trademark owned by OTS or others implied.

OTS Manufacturing and Supply, Inc. 293 Industrial Drive Lexington, SC 29072

Telephone: (803) 957-3549

© 2010 OTS

OTS BTR<sup>™</sup> Polyurethane Binder is manufactured under ISO 9001 and is a trademark of OTS Manufacturing and Supply, Inc.